requirements of the Federal Transit Act, Section 5333(b). After referring applications to affected employees represented by a labor organization, DOL will issue a certification to FTA. The terms and conditions of the certification will be incorporated in the FTA grant agreement under the new guidelines replacing these in 29 CFR Part 215. Please see Amendment to Section 5333(b), Guidelines To Carry Out New Programs Authorized by the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA–21); Final Rule (64 FR 40990, July 28, 1999).

Issued on August 19, 1999.

Gordon J. Linton,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 99–21922 Filed 8–23–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–57–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA 99-6093; Notice 1]

Italjet S.p.A.; Receipt of Application for Temporary Exemption From Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 123

Italjet S.p.A., an Italian corporation, through Italjet USA ("Italjet") of New York City, NY, has applied for a temporary exemption of two years from a requirement of S5.2.1 (Table 1) of Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 123 *Motorcycle Controls and Displays.* The basis of the request is that "compliance with the standard would prevent the manufacturer from selling a motor vehicle with an overall safety level at least equal to the overall safety level of nonexempt vehicles," 49 U.S.C. Sec. 30113(b)(3)(B)(iv).

We are publishing this notice of receipt of an application in accordance with the requirements of 49 U.S.C. 30113(b)(2). This action does not represent any judgment of the agency on the merits of the application.

Italjet has applied on behalf of its Torpedo 125, Formula 125, Millenium 125, and Millenium 150 motor scooters ("scooters"). The scooters are defined as "motorcycles" for purposes of compliance with the Federal motor vehicle safety standards. According to Italjet, its scooters have a peak motor output of 26 hp and a top speed of 60 miles per hour.

If a motorcycle is produced with rear wheel brakes, S5.2.1 of Standard No. 123 requires that the brakes be operable through the right foot control, though the left handlebar is permissible for motor driven cycles (Item 11, Table 1).

Italiet would like to use the left handlebar as the control for the rear brakes of the scooters, whose peak motor output of 26 hp produces more than the 5 hp maximum that separates motor driven cycles from motorcycles. The gear ratio of the vehicle is fixed, and "there is no need for the rider to shift gears, as on a standard motorcycle." Because of this, the scooters are "equipped with neither a clutch nor a clutch lever, and the left hand of the rider is free to operate a brake lever." Italjet states that it prefers this design, given its focus on European and Asian markets "where rear brake controls for scooters of all horsepower ratings are typically mounted on the left handlebar.

Italjet argues that the overall level of safety of the scooters equals or exceeds that of a motorcycle that complies with the brake control location requirement of Standard No. 123. It believes that "the prevalence of the left hand operated design in Europe and Asia is one strong indicator that a vehicle designed in this way can be operated safely." It believes that "vehicle safety might be somewhat enhanced with the left hand brake lever, as the hand (bare or gloved) is generally more capable of sensitive modulation of the braking force than the foot."

Italjet intends to field test a small number of the scooters in the American market in Fall 1999 to assess the design, and without an exemption it would be unable to do so. It wishes to consider whether the United States' scooter market offers sufficient sales potential to justify the creation of a design specifically for the United States that incorporates the right foot brake pedal. Alternatively, it may petition for rulemaking to amend Standard No. 123 to allow the hand-operated brake control on motorcycles with more than 5 hp.

Italjet anticipates sales of not more than 2500 scooters a year while an exemption is in effect. It believes that an exemption would be in the public interest and consistent with the objectives of traffic safety "because it would maintain an acceptable level of safety while accelerating the advancement of an important new class of vehicles for use by consumers and businesses."

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the application described above. Comments should refer to the docket number and the notice number, and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590. It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the comment closing date indicated below will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. The Docket Room is open from 10:00 a.m. until 5:00 p.m. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered.

Notice of final action on the application will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Comment closing date: September 23, 1999.

(49 U.S.C. 30113; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50. and 501.8)

Issued on August 13, 1999.

L. Robert Shelton,

Associate Administrator for Safety Performance Standards.

[FR Doc. 99–21930 Filed 8–23–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-99-6092; Notice 1]

Lotus Cars Ltd.; Receipt of Application for Temporary Exemption From Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 201

Lotus Cars Ltd. ("Lotus") of Norwich, England, through Lotus Cars USA, Inc., has applied for a temporary exemption from S7, Performance Criterion, of Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 201 Occupant Protection in Interior Impact, as described below. The basis of the application is that compliance would cause substantial economic hardship to a manufacturer that has tried in good faith to comply with the standard.

We are publishing this notice of receipt of the application in accordance with the requirements of 49 U.S.C. 30113(b)(2), and have made no judgment on the merits of the application.

The material below is taken from Lotus's application

Why Lotus Needs a Temporary Exemption

In August 1995, when S7, the new head injury criteria portion of Standard No. 201, was promulgated, Lotus was owned by the Italian owners of Bugatti, a company then in bankruptcy. That year, Lotus was able to produce only 835 cars, selling 152, or 18.2%, in the United States.